	Case 5:07-cv-01239-JF Document 81 Filed 07/25/08 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	CATHY ENWERE, ) Case No.: C 07-1239 JF (PVT)
13	Plaintiff, ORDER RE PLAINTIFF' S FAILURE
14	v. ) TO PROPERLY FILE AND SERVE DOCUMENTS SHE HAS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT
15	TERMAN ASSOCIATES, L.P., dba ) TERMAN APARTMENTS, et al., )
16	Defendants.
17	)
18	It has come to the court's attention that Plaintiff has been asking the clerks office <i>not</i> to
19	file some of the documents she submits to the court. It also appears Plaintiff has not filed any
20	Certificates of Service for some of the documents she has submitted. Therefore,
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that in submitting any documents to the court in the future,
22	Plaintiff shall comply with the filing and service requirements of Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil
23	Procedure as well as this court's Civil Local Rule 7. Although courts construe a <i>pro se</i> <sup>1</sup> litigant's
24	pleadings liberally, a <i>pro se</i> litigant is nonetheless bound by the rules of procedure. <i>See Ghazali v</i> .
25	Moran, 46 F.3d 52 (9th Cir. 1995). A general explanation of how to comply with the rules for filing
26	and service can be found in the court's "Handbook for Litigants Without a Lawyer" (aka "Pro Se
27	Handbook"), copies of which are available from the clerk's office or the court's website
28	The tame "mus as" refers to a menty to a lavyouit value is mus acading without a lavyour
	The term pro se refers to a party to a lawsuit who is proceeding without a lawyer.
	Order, page 1

## Case 5:07-cv-01239-JF Document 81 Filed 07/25/08 Page 2 of 3

(www.cand.uscourts.gov).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that failure to properly file a document that is required to be filed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this court's Civil Local Rules may result in the document being disregarded by the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall file in the official court file for this case the three documents entitled "Declaration of Cathy Enwere of Racial Discrimination, Withholding of Evidence and Fraudulent Acts Committed by Gwen Richardson and Susan Sheftel for Motion to Compel DFEH to Produce Documents and Discoveries," which were received by the court on July 8, 2008. The three documents are hereby deemed to be one document. It shall be manually filed due to its size, and it shall be docketed as "Plaintiff's Reply Papers." The docket shall reflect that the document was filed as of July 8, 2008. The handwritten cover sheet, which states "Cathy Enwere's Motion to Compel For Honorable Judge Patricia V. Trumbull" shall be discarded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall scan and file in the official court file for this case (using the court's Electronic Case Filing system) the document entitled "Response to Motion to Compel Order Requesting Time to Prepare and Inter Summary Judgement," which was received by the court on July 23, 2008. The docket shall reflect that the document was filed as of July 23, 2008.

Dated: 7/25/08

<u>Safricia V. Frumbul</u> PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge

	Case 5:07-cv-01239-JF Document 81 Filed 07/25/08 Page 3 of 3
1	
2	Counsel automatically notified of this filing via the court's Electronic Case Filing system.
3	
4	copies mailed on to:
5	Cathy Enwere 1263 Madera Ave.
6	Menlo Park, CA 94025
7	
8	GODDANDADA
9	CORINNE LEW Courtroom Deputy
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Order page 3